

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
	09/809,155 03/05/2001		Richard Gary McDaniel	00 P 7500 US01	5378
	7590 11/15/2007 Siemens Corporation			EXAMINER	
	Attn: Elsa Kelle	er, Legal Administrator		HARTMAN JR, RONALD D	
	Intellectual Property Department 186 Wood Avenue South Iselin, NJ 08830			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2121	
				MAIL DATE-	DELIVERY MODE
				11/15/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

W
LC.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	Office Action Summers	09/809,155	MCDANIEL ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Ronald D. Hartman Jr.	2121				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1)⊠ F	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 Se	eptember 2007.					
		action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowan		secution as to the merits is				
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositio	n of Claims						
_	Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>21-23</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are allowed.						
	Claim(s) <u>20</u> is/are rejected.						
	☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) <u> </u>	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Applicatio	n Papers						
	ne specification is objected to by the Examiner						
	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)∐ A	12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
, —							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
out the distance designed embe design for a list of the definied copies flot received.							
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)							
	of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) 🔲 Informa	tion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal Pa	atent Application				
raperi	No(s)/Mail Date	o) 🗀 Ottlef					

Application/Control Number: 09/809,155

Art Unit: 2121

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-23 are presented.

Claims 21-23 are withdrawn, as per the election of claims 1-20.

An action on the merits of claims 1-20 commences herein.

Information Disclosure Statement

The applicant requests acknowledgement of four previously submitted Information Disclosure Statements mailed on June 12, 2001; on June 18, 2002; on July 2, 2002; and on October 8, 2002.

The examiner kindly asks the applicant to re-provide these statements, and their respective reference listed therein, as they do not appear to be in the file of record.

However, the applicant does not need to provide a copy of the following 2 references since they are of record.

- (1) PYGMALION: A Creative Programming Environment By David Canfield Smith, May 1975; and
- (2) DE 197 15 494 A1.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claim 20 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as being directed to non-statutory subject matter.

As per claim 20, the claimed method comprising a step of activating, a step of programming via demonstration, but does not claim a tangible result.

Claims must provide a tangible result, and there must be a practical application, by either 1) transforming a physical thing; or 2) by having the FINAL RESULT (not the steps) achieve or produce a useful (specific, substantial, AND credible), concrete

Art Unit: 2121

(substantially repeatable/non-unpredictable), AND tangible (real world/non-abstract) result.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 20 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

As per claim 20, line 7, "the widgets" lacks proper antecedent basis.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 20 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by "Watch What I Do: Programming by Demonstration"; by Allen Cypher, published in 1993 (hereinafter: Cypher).

As per claim 20, Cypher teaches a programming by demonstration tool wherein a user may program a user interface and a control program, at the same time, wherein the process of demonstrating occurs via utilization of widgets (e.g. See Chapter 6 and Appendix A).

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1-19 are allowed.

Art Unit: 2121

As per claims 1-19, specifically independent claims 1 and 8, the prior art of record fails to teach a computer programming method for use in controlling an automation process, comprising a "programming by demonstration" (as defined by [0026]) tool for combining the programming of a control program and its user interface at the same time, wherein an inference engine is utilized for recording and processing a manipulation of widgets in order to produce executable code which is then used to control the automation process.

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election of claims 1-20 in the reply filed on 9/4/2007 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)). Therefore, the restriction requirement is hereby made FINAL.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ronald D. Hartman Jr. whose telephone number is (571) 272-3684. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri., 11:00 - 8:30 pm, EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Vincent can be reached on (571) 272-3080. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

Application/Control Number: 09/809,155

Art Unit: 2121

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

November 6, 2007

RDH

X ROH

RONALD HARTMAN, JR.
PRIMARY EXAMINER

11/6/2007

Page 5